RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS

WEST COYOTE HILLS SPECIFIC PLAN 2008 RECIRCULATED REVISED DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FULLERTON, CA

INTRODUCTION

The 45-day public review period for the Recirculated Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) prepared for the West Coyote Hills Specific Plan/Robert E. Ward Nature Preserve Project extended from January 17 through March 3, 2008. The City of Fullerton received 20 comment letters on the Recirculated Revised Draft EIR during the formal public review and comment period. Responses to the comments included in each of the letters received by the City have been prepared and are included with the Final Recirculated Revised EIR. The 21 comment letters were received from:

- 1. Southern California Gas Company (January 22, 2008)
- 2. Orange County Water District (January 23, 2008)
- 3. Southern California Association of Governments (January 31, 2008)
- 4. Native American Heritage Commission (February 19, 2008)
- 5. Constance Spenger (February 20, 2008)
- 6. Coyote Hills Task Force or Joint Conservation Committee of the North and South Orange County Groups, Sierra Club, Angles Chapter (February 27, 2008)
- 7. California Department of Transportation (February 28, 2008)
- 8. Jennifer Bishton (February 29, 2008)
- 9. Sea & Sage Audubon (March 3, 2008)
- 10. Robert K. Stevenson (March 3, 2008)
- 11. Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP (March 3, 2008)
- 12. Land Protection Partners (March 3, 2008)
- 13. Autumn Wind Associates, Inc. (March 3, 2008)
- 14. Diane Bonanno (March 3, 2008)
- 15. Center for Biological Diversity (March 3, 2008)
- 16. County of Orange Resources & Development Management Department (March 3, 2008)
- 17. San Gabriel & Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy (March 4, 2008)
- 18. California Department of Toxic Substances Control (March 7, 2008)
- 19. California Department of Fish and Game (March 10, 2008)
- 20. City of La Habra (March 11, 2008)
- 21. Sharon Kennedy (March 11, 2008)

Responses to these comments have been prepared according to Section 15088 of the State CEQA Guidelines. The letters received during the public review period have been reproduced in the section that follows. The letters have been reviewed and substantive comments have been identified. Responses have been prepared and follow the letters from the agencies in this "Response to Public Comments" Appendix to the Final Recirculated Revised EIR. Each comment in each letter for which a response is required has been numbered for easy reference.