



**FULLERTON
CITIZENS' INFRASTRUCTURE
REVIEW COMMITTEE**

MINUTES

**WEDNESDAY, APRIL 4, 2012; 5:00 P.M.
COUNCIL CONFERENCE ROOM
1ST FLOOR - CITY HALL**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Patrick McNelly
Gregory Sebourn
Jay Kremer
Sheridan George
William Kelly
Ryan Alcantara
Wes Smith

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Roland Chi
Lisa Hendrickson

STAFF PRESENT:

Don Hoppe, Director of Engineering
Ron Bowers, Senior Civil Engineer

CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order by Chair Sebourn at 5:05 p.m. The newest member of the committee, Wes Smith, gave a brief background about himself.

CONSENT:

MOTION by Member George, seconded by Member McNelly and carried by a 5-2 vote, with Members Kelly and Smith abstaining, that the Minutes of the November 14, 2011, meeting be approved as written.

REGULAR BUSINESS:

ITEM NO. 1

2012 MODIFIED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Ron Bowers, Senior Civil Engineer, noted the CIP that was distributed to committee members, were listed by department and funding sources, and not listed by priority. Each department has a priority list of its own.

Member McNelly asked how staff modified the existing CIP and was it by Council direction? Director Hoppe answered that the majority of the "cuts" were because of the lack of CIP funding. Member McNelly further inquired about specific changes to the Raymond Grade Separation

project. Director Hoppe explained that the project is fully funded, but if the Redevelopment oversight committee funding is not approved, staff is hoping that OCTA would find other funding sources. The State College Grade Separation project has already received a \$5 million grant. Both projects are required to be under construction by December of 2013.

Member Kelly asked if the increased funding on the Street Improvement Projects came from a carryover and Mr. Bowers indicated a portion of this was carryover. Member Kelly also asked if a typical allocation for street surfacing an increase. Senior Civil Engineer Bowers said it is an increase.

Member McNelly questioned if the City Council suspends the “franchise fee” will the water fund money being allocated from Circulation Element be available, and Director Hoppe answered affirmatively, depending on how the Council allocates it. He further explained that whenever there is water or sewer line work, there are ultimately street repairs that must be done also. At present, most street reconstruction projects are being postponed until it is determined if sewer and/or water pipes have to be repaired or replaced.

Member McNelly asked if the sewer designs for the grade separation projects had been completed and Director Hoppe answered that they are in progress.

Member George asked why the Bond Proceeds for Area 3 had been increased by \$3 million dollars. Mr. Bowers indicated that the original allocation was \$5 million, but the \$3 million was added to keep as a funding source. Regarding the beacon and runway lighting project, Member George wanted to know why it was removed from the CIP, and Mr. Bowers answered that the City normally receives an FAA grant for this work, but would not be receiving one for this year.

MOTION by Member McNelly, seconded and carried unanimously by voting members present, that said item be received and filed.

ITEM NO. 2

REVIEW OF SCOPE OF SERVICES FOR STREET LIGHT REPLACEMENT STUDY

Director Hoppe distributed an estimate prepared by Engineering Department staff giving a reasonable scope of what it would cost to do a replacement of the street light system. At present, the City has close to 3,800 street lights on an older system--a regulated output transformer with a 2200-volt system. Edison is having a difficult time finding and maintaining parts for this system, and because many of the areas require a specific transformer, each one has to be custom made.

Director Hoppe would like a new study to be conducted with a new consultant. It was his best estimate that the cost would be \$50-\$60 million dollars to replace the entire system. He felt that a project of this scope may require an assessment district.

Member Kremer asked if Edison had responsibility for any of the costs involved. Director Hoppe explained that they only supply the power; the City is responsible for the poles and lights themselves. There are approximately 600 out of 6,000 lights which do belong to Edison.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There was no one from the public who wished to speak on any matter.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the Citizens' Infrastructure Review Committee adjourned at 5:30 p.m. until the next meeting to be scheduled at a later date.

Becky Stevens
Administrative Assistant