MINUTES BICYCLE USERS SUBCOMMITTEE

City Hall ------Council Conference Room Tuesday, September 15, 2011------ 5:00 p.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

5:10 start time

Jane Rands Gene Hiegel Vince Buck Kent Morris Beth Trimble Matt Leslie

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: None

STAFF PRESENT: Heather Allen, Planning Manager

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Chair Rands at 5:10 p.m.

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There was no one from the public who wished to speak

REGULAR BUSINESS

1. REVIEW AND PROVIDE COMMENT ON THE SPECIFIC PORTIONS OF THE PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT OF THE FULLERTON PLAN (GENERAL PLAN UPDATE) RELEVANT TO THE BICYCLE USERS SUB-COMMITTEE.

Planning Manager Allen gave a brief history of the Fullerton General Plan to date and gave a Powerpoint presentation.

Chair Rands asked how long the general public had to submit comments on the plan, and Manager Allen said that comments would be accepted up until the final adoption.

Member Leslie inquired if each committee and subcommittee would be presenting a report to City Council. Manager Allen answered that because the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) had final approval regarding input to the draft plan, staff would be presenting each committee's comments and concerns when making its presentation to Council.

Manager Allen then asked for comments from the subcommittee:

- Member Hiegel: Page 226 no headings on the columns. Manager Allen stated that there were headings, but did not show because the copies were the sections relevant to the BUSC.
- Member Leslie: Commonwealth Corridor Planning Objectives No. 4 states that it "promotes unified character through unique streetscape design." Oftentimes the streetscapes involve medians which narrow the lanes and make it unsafe for bicyclists. Is this addressed anywhere in the Bicycle Element? Chair Rands noted that the second bullet point indicated that multi-mobility improvements through the corridor would be made. Member Leslie asked if this was the case.

Manager Allen stated these were broad objectives at the policy level. No land use changes are proposed in this update of the General Plan to allow for subsequent community based planning process. These will further address a more a discreet area and include planning related to multi-model transportation and streetscape.

- Member Buck: Page 49: Should be "goal" not "goals" in second paragraph under "Policies."
 - o Under Purpose "...design to meet commuter and recreational user needs", but there are other users and should be another category utilitarian.
 - Would like to see the word "expand" used with "develop" particularly in the Multi-Tiered Bicycle Network section.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:05.